## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-1412

September Term, 2015 NLRB-20CA116766

Filed On: January 12, 2016 [1593001]

Scomas of Sausalito, LLC,

Petitioner

٧.

National Labor Relations Board,

Respondent

UNITE HERE, Local 2850. Intervenor

Consolidated with 15-1476

## ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion for leave to intervene filed by:

UNITE HERE,

it is **ORDERED** that the motion be granted.

Circuit Rules 28(d) and 32(a)(2) govern the filing of briefs by intervenors. A schedule for the filing of briefs will be established by future order. That order will automatically provide briefing only for intervenors on the side of respondents. Any intervenor(s) intending to participate in support of petitioners must so notify the court, in writing, within 14 days of the date of this order. Such notification must include a statement of the issues to be raised by the intervenor(s). This notification will allow tailoring of the briefing schedule to provide time for a brief as intervenor on the side of petitioners. Failure to submit notification could result in an intervenor being denied leave to file a brief.

## United States Court of Appeals For The District of Columbia Circuit

No. 15-1412

September Term, 2015

Intervenors supporting the same party are reminded that they **must** file a joint brief or certify to the court why a separate brief is necessary. Intervenors' attention is particularly directed to <u>D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures</u> 38 (2015), which describes "unacceptable" grounds for filing separate briefs. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. <u>See</u> D.C. Cir. Rule 38.

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

John J. Accursio Deputy Clerk